

# Lynette Croll (Nette)

PO Box 114, Garfield Vic 3814  
Phone 56295559  
Mobile 0422 719 616  
Email trevorcroll@ultra-e.net

I seek from this Tribunal that it orders the following:  
That:

21<sup>st</sup> February 2004

1. I am left in peace to live in my own house,
2. I can live without any harassment or stalking from any of my three daughters,
3. I can have Trevor live with me,
4. I am very happy living in my own house,
5. I am left to manage my own business affairs myself,
6. I can manage everything myself including washing, dressing, cooking and most household chores,
7. Cheryl returns my red Toyota car including the GPS Navman navigator,
8. Faye returns all my private and personal papers and those of my husband Harry , including my marriage certificate of 55 years duration, both our full birth certificates and all the German hand written letters to Harry's mother which came to Harry after his mother's death and my note and coin collection,
9. Faye, Cheryl and Leonie pay the costs incurred for preparing for this hearing

## **Reasons why the Tribunal should grant the above:**

- Harry's will specifically left everything to Lynette,
- Lynette is capable of making her own decisions and likes Trevor living with her,
- The Psychologist's report is clear on this subject and the evidence in it is insurmountable.
- The Enduring Power of Attorney has not been activated as Trevor is of the opinion that Nette is capable of managing her own affairs and as such has not filed it with the bank.

## **Costs.**

It should be clear that this Guardianship List application is made by a social worker who has been told things that are not true. The three daughters know Lynette is happy living with Trevor, both Cheryl and Leonie have visited 2 Flett Street and seen for themselves. Trevor left a message on Leonie's answering machine inviting her to come and look for her self and even told Ronda Emmerson this fact.

When an application is without merit, that is, those making it could not possibly believe that it could be successful and there is some evidence of malice then those making the application become responsible for the costs incurred by those defending that application. In this case there has been ongoing conflict that revolves about the estate and Lynette's inheriting the estate from her husband. Lynette's personal property and Harry's property was stolen by a daughter and it is clear that this is a point of dispute.

The Psychologist's report \$551.00, Train fares from Bendigo and Diesel for the return trip about plus wear and tear \$300.00, Kim and Bill Moore missed a day's pay at \$120.00 each equals \$240.00, Jenny Ingleton Psychologist \$600.00 Total \$1751.00. Then there should be an amount for personal stress and distress that these daughters would use this Tribunal to continue their harassment of Lynette Croll.

Lynette Croll